





- 30) It's \_\_\_\_\_ kind of you! Thank you!  
 a) so much                      b) too much                      c) so                      d) such
- 31) "Is it going to rain? I \_\_\_\_\_ !"  
 a) hope not                      b) don't hope                      c) hope                      d) do hope
- 32) I live 20 minutes \_\_\_\_\_ from the office.  
 a) far                      b) long                      c) distant                      d) away
- 33) Why \_\_\_\_\_ him?  
 a) asking                      b) to ask                      c) ask                      d) you ask
- 34) He had his car \_\_\_\_\_ .  
 a) steal                      b) stealing                      c) have stolen                      d) stolen
- 35) He used \_\_\_\_\_ a lot when he was young.  
 a) smoking                      b) to smoke  
 c) smoke                      d) to smoking
- 36) You \_\_\_\_\_ have lent him some money, it's too late now!  
 a) shouldn't                      b) mustn't                      c) couldn't                      d) didn't
- 37) They \_\_\_\_\_ win the match if they play well.  
 a) have to                      b) ought                      c) should                      d) would
- 38) He is used \_\_\_\_\_ a cigarette after lunch.  
 a) smoking                      b) to smoke  
 c) smoke                      d) to smoking
- 39) I'm not interested \_\_\_\_\_ politics.  
 a) in                      b) on                      c) by                      d) for
- 40) Prices have increased \_\_\_\_\_ 2% \_\_\_\_\_ average.  
 a) by/in                      b) of/by                      c) by/on                      d) for/in
- 41) There's no \_\_\_\_\_ to wear a tie tonight.  
 a) necessary                      b) use  
 c) need                      d) compulsory
- 42) John always changes \_\_\_\_\_ mind.  
 a) one's                      b) his                      c) of                      d) to
- 43) I \_\_\_\_\_ when she came in.  
 a) wrote                      b) have been writing  
 c) was writing                      d) have written
- 44) I haven't finished \_\_\_\_\_ .  
 a) yet                      b) already                      c) still                      d) yesterday

- 45) They spoke to \_\_\_\_\_ on the phone last night.  
 a) themselves                      b) each other                      c) theirs                      d) their
- 46) In \_\_\_\_\_ days it was much easier!  
 a) these                      b) those                      c) the                      d) past
- 47) I told you he would come \_\_\_\_\_ ?  
 a) wouldn't he                      b) did I  
 c) would he                      d) didn't I
- 48) No trains were running \_\_\_\_\_ the strike.  
 a) because                      b) thanks to                      c) owing to                      d) due
- 49) I don't know where \_\_\_\_\_ my keys.  
 a) I left                      b) did I leave                      c) I leave                      d) do I leave
- 50) It's the \_\_\_\_\_ difficult exam I have \_\_\_\_\_ taken.  
 a) most/always                      b) most/ever  
 c) most/never                      d) more/ever

Read the text carefully and answer the questions. Only one answer is possible.

#### BIOTECH FOODS – Mark I. Schwartz – FEAR VERSUS SCIENCE

The European Union's environment commissioner, Stavros Dimas, recently indicated that two genetically engineered varieties of corn might soon be banned in Europe because they could possibly harm certain beneficial insects. The European biotechnology industry countered that the very scientific studies cited by Dimas actually bolstered the already overwhelming evidence of the safety of these corn varieties.

This is only the most recent in a long line of EU claims about the supposed harms of biotech. At the core of this dispute is the "precautionary principle" – the idea that regulatory measures should be taken to prevent or limit actions that raise even conjectural risks, even when the scientific evidence of the existence, magnitude or potential impact of a risk may be incomplete or inconclusive. This principle, incorporated into EU law, has effectively precluded the cultivation or sale of biotech crops or foods in the EU.

In the United States, by contrast, dozens of new crops and foods resulting from recombinant DNA technology have been marketed over the past decade, and they have been an overwhelming success.

Indeed, fully 90% of the soybeans currently planted in the U.S. are of a biotech variety, and close to 80% of cotton and 60% of corn are biotech varieties. Fully three-quarters of the processed foods in American supermarkets contains ingredients from recombinant DNA modified plants.

The characteristics of the end product – and not of the process by which the end-product is developed – determine the risk level, and hence the level of federal regulation.

This approach is based on the fact that the genes of virtually all organisms consist of DNA, and, scientifically speaking, it's what the DNA produces, not where it comes from that matters. The result is that biotech foods in the United States are effectively regulated no differently than conventional foods. Furthermore, because the end-product, not the process, determines the level of risk – biotech foods are generally not labelled any differently from conventional foods.

The relative importance of regulating the process (as in the EU) rather than the end-product is referred to as the "process-product paradigm". With 10 years of hindsight to guide us, which is the better regulatory framework?

Whether we compare these products on the basis of their production costs, diversity of new varieties or safety, the clear winner is end-product regulation of biotech crops and foods. For example, farmers who have used crops containing genes enhancing resistance to pests have significantly reduced their reliance on pesticides, and simultaneously increased their yields. For cotton plants alone, the net financial gain to American farmers has been in the hundreds of millions of dollars. One of the most promising areas of new crop development involves varieties genetically engineered to have an increased content of essential minerals and vitamins.

As for safety, by the end of this year, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration will have evaluated approximately 70 biotech food products and found them all to be as safe as their conventional counterparts.

Furthermore, a large body of independent scientific evidence confirms that there is nothing about biotech foods that causes them to be inherently more dangerous than foods made from conventional crops.

What many opponents of bioengineering refuse to acknowledge is that many traditional plant-breeding techniques are simply imprecise forms of the very genetic engineering that they claim to reject. An increasing number of studies have concluded that biotech foods are actually healthier and safer in many respects than their conventional counterparts; Examples include the very products that Commissioner Dimas is considering banning, namely varieties of biotech engineered corn.

These scientific conclusions have led to suggestions that health claims be allowed on biotech corn products or that warning labels be mandated on certain conventional corn products, turning on its head the argument that bioengineered foods be labelled as "genetically engineered" in order to enable consumers to seek out the "safe" conventional products.

The precautionary principle seriously impedes the further advancement of society by eroding science-based risk-management practices, leading to the banning of net-beneficial products as well as products for which no harm has been demonstrated.

If civilization had embraced this principle in the 1800s, our lives today would be almost as nasty, brutish and short as they were 200 years ago.

- 51) According to the EU:
- a) There is already 100% scientific evidence that genetically modified corn varieties are absolutely safe
  - b) There is no evidence that they are safe
  - c) Evidence has been shown that studies are very serious
  - d) The European biotechnology industry and the EU overwhelmingly agree with each other
- 52) The "precautionary principle":
- a) has prevented the cultivation of biotech crops in Europe
  - b) has helped to spread biotech foods across Europe
  - c) is inconclusive
  - d) is a principle based on a scientific idea
- 53) Which statement is NOT true?
- a) Genetically modified foods have been a success in the US and 40% of corn are genetically modified
  - b) More than 10% of soybeans, 28% of cotton and 40% of corn are genetically modified
  - c) More than 75% of processed foods in the US is biotech food
  - d) The risk level for such foods is based on the end-product not the process by which it is developed
- 54) Which statement is TRUE:
- a) In biotech foods the DNA is virtual
  - b) The production of DNA is very important
  - c) The US is very effective in producing biotech foods
  - d) Biotech foods are regulated and labelled like conventional foods
- 55) According to the author of this article:
- a) It is more important to regulate the end-product.
  - b) It is more important to regulate the process
  - c) It is important to regulate both the process and the end product
  - d) Regulation is not important
- 56) According to the author:
- a) European farmers have been the main beneficiaries of biotech crops
  - b) American farmers have been the main beneficiaries of biotech crops
  - c) Neither the Americas nor the Europeans have benefited from biotech crops
  - d) It is too early to tell
- 57) "Hundreds of millions of dollars" represent:
- a) Federal subsidies to American farmers
  - b) EU subsidies to European farmers
  - c) Profits by American farmers for cotton plants
  - d) Profits by American farmers for all biotech crops

- 58) Research on bio-engineering is led by:
- a) the US Food and Drug administration only
  - b) European biotechnology industry
  - c) Independent laboratories
  - d) All of the above
- 59) According to you:
- a) the author is biased against biotech foods
  - b) the author is biased towards biotech foods
  - c) the author doesn't take sides
  - d) the author is ready for a compromise